Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/911,399

Matano

Examiner

Andrea Chop

Art Unit **3677**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	, PTO personnel):
(1) Andrea Chop	(3)
(2) Gay Spahn	
Date of Interview Apr 9, 2003	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ appli	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{ Y}	es e)⊠ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 19 and 20	
Identification of prior art discussed: Melas	
any other comments:	general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
	into Claim 19 to more specifically define Applicant's invention over
the prior art. See attached Examiner's Amendment.	
	amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims are no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) $oxtimes$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (S already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT	FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST see MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has THE FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	Andrea Chop Andrea Chop PATENT EXAMILIER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required